5:30 p.m. PUBLIC HEARING

Sign-up Sheet

Public Hearing for Land Development Code (LDC) and Noise Ordinance Text Amendments (TX-2015-01)

April 4, 2016

Please Print

Naı	me:	Address:
1.	LEE MCCALL	35 S. INGLEHOOK LANE
2.	Larry Rogers-	-
3.		
3. 4.		
5.		
6.		
7.		
8.		
9.		
10.		
11.		
12.		
13.		
14.		
15.		
16.		
17.		
18.		
19.		
20.		
21.		
21. 22. 23. 24.		
23.		
24.		
25		



PUBLIC SAFETY PLAN FOR SPECIAL EVENTS

The purpose of this document is to provide special event planners a guide to address potential safety hazards and to identify safety procedures that should be in place prior to an event.

Complete all applicable fields, and identify any fields that are not applicable to the event with an N/A.

	EVENT	INFORMATIO	N	(國際) 医医疗性性衰竭 医后进性衰竭
Name of Event:		Tablist - 1 /2-13	septem	and some officers and a survey of
Type of Event (recreation	, athletic, concert, festival,	, etc):	25-19-7-1	and the second second second second
Ticketed Event:	Maximum Tic	kets:	- establis	" g. Berejournerik al- brane it is the said
Event Sponsor:				
Event Location Property C	wner:			and the constant and the confidence of
Facility Address:			The Charles	
City:	State:			ZIP Code:
Event Manager Contact:	with the post remaisters	gal maria	The state of	Cell Phone:
Email:	er parties of the temperature of the control of the	oriumanata eine Sum o	erroller lework	
Date(s) of Event:	nga i in in i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			
Time(s) of Event:				Estimated Daily Event Attendance:
Estimate of largest number	er of people that will be at	the event at t	he same	e time:
Description of Event Area:		# 5 L J	L Post	residente de la compansión de la compans
Additional permits require	d (Building, Fire, Health, N	ICDOL, ABC et	c)?	□Yes □No
If yes, attach specific p	permit:	resument of the	11. 150	respected that remains and religion of
Other Critical Event Inform	nation:	vener en mogé	south the	a il trapragrationar la survida del producti
	EVEN	NT STAFFING		
Number of Event Staff:	Maring I	u E	217	
Event Staffing Plan (shifts	, locations, duties and resp	onsibilities et	c):	white tend of the object of test of
Will there be a need for be	e onsite Fire Services?	□Yes □	No	Palaco na est avácio si de
If yes, who and where	will they be located at the	event?	No etyl	an kiriste alifa eji eza isana ilan yeyi z
Will there be need for ons Services ?	ite EMS/Medical	□Yes □	No	mos kaling problem as extending t
If yes, who and where	will they be located at the	event?		The state of the s
Will there be need for ons Enforcement/Security?	ite Law	□Yes □	No	enter entere
If yes, who and where	will they be located at the	event?	. 29%	James Holly Her Destroy and American
Is Fire Watch required fo	r the event?	□Yes □	No	
If yes, what staff are as	ssigned to Fire Watch?		79 141 140	New or the cold of the second of the second
Are "Trained Crowd Man for the event? (Events >10	agers" (TCMs) required 000 attendees)	□Yes □	No	ustralia de la composición del composición de la composición de la composición de la composición del composición de la c
TCM Names and certific	ations attached?			20 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
Who are other key event s	taff and what are their role	es in an emerç	jency?	
List any training that will b	pe required for staff prior t	to the event (in	ncludino	volunteers):

	FIRE PROTECTION AND LIFE S	AFETY SYSTEMS AND EQUIPMENT
Describe the System, etc.	e systems in place to assist with an em .):	ergency (Public Address System, Fire Alarm or Sprinkler
Describe the Station(s), F	equipment available to assist in an er ire Extinguishers, First Aid Kits, Auton	mergency including quantity and location (First Aid nated External Defibrillator (AED), etc.):
Describe the	time and date of on-site preplanning	meeting before event:
	ADDITIONAL	INFORMATION
	PLAN REVIEW	AND APPROVAL
Plan Created By:		Date:
Plan Reviewed B	y:	Date:
Plan Approval	☐Yes ☐No	Date:

PUBLIC COMMENT SIGNUP SHEET

APRIL 4, 2016

Pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. §153A-52.1, the Henderson County welcomes public comment at its meetings. Please note that each speaker is limited to three (3) minutes, unless a different time limit is announced. Also, the Board may adopt rules limiting the number of persons speaking taking the same position on a given issue, and other rules regarding the maintenance of good order.

Each speaker should be aware and by their signatures hereto they agree that their comments may be recorded (by audio-visual recordings, photography or other means), and may be (but are not required to be) broadcast by the County as a part of the broadcast of this meeting, or as a part of the County's programming on its local video channel(s). By their signature they further agree that Henderson County is and will be the sole owner of all rights in and to such programming. The undersigned hereby indemnifies Henderson County, its employees and agents, against any and all claims, damages, liabilities, costs and expenses arising out of the use of the undersigned's images and words in connection therewith.

1.	Le McCal		
	PRINTED NAME		SIGNATURE
	35 S. Inglenook ba		Mountain trae
	FR. NC		Topic
60	MAILING ADDRESS		
2.		•	
	PRINTED NAME		SIGNATURE
			Topic
	MAILING ADDRESS		
3.			
	PRINTED NAME		SIGNATURE
			Topic
	MAILING ADDRESS		
4.			
	PRINTED NAME		SIGNATURE
			Topic
	MAILING ADDRESS		

Discussion B. (supplementary)



HENDERSON COUNTY ENGINEERING

1 Historic Courthouse Square, Suite 6 Hendersonville, North Carolina 28792 (828) 694-6526

Henderson County Board of Commissioners Tommy Thompson, Chairman 1 Historic Courthouse Square, Suite 1 Hendersonville, NC 28792

Re: Henderson County Environmental Advisory Committee Letter of Support

To the Henderson County Board of Commissioners:

April 4, 2016

The attached letter of support from the Henderson County Environmental Advisory Committee (EAC) was approved in majority by its members officially on Friday, April 1, 2016. It is being presented to you on April 4, 2016 as a supplementary piece to agenda Discussion Item B., "Bell Park PARTF Grant." In addition to support from the TDA and the Recreation Advisory Committee, the EAC also endorses this proposed park project and is hereby submitting a formal support letter for your review.

Sincerely,

Rachel Kipper

Rachel Kipar, Environmental Programs Coordinator

Staff resource for Environmental Advisory Committee

cc: Steve Wyatt John Mitchell Marcus Jones Terry Wilson

HENDERSON COUNTY

HENDERSON COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Ryan Cannon, Chair • Jan Allen • Kimberly Baird • Liz Curtis • Ann Green • Pam Danz • Philip Ellis • Chris Grose • Mary Beth Hayes

www.hendersoncountync.org/engineering/solidwaste/eac.html

Henderson County Board of Commissioners Tommy Thompson, Chairman 1 Historic Courthouse Square, Suite 1 Hendersonville, NC 28792

RE: Henderson County Environmental Advisory Committee Letter of Support

Dear Chairman Thompson,

April 1, 2016

We, as the members of the Henderson County Environmental Advisory Committee (EAC), are thankful for the opportunity to serve our community and the Board of Commissioners. We began our current term by reviewing the environmental priorities of our Committee members. We reached consensus on the topic of expanding greenways in Henderson County.

Kieran Roe, Executive Director of Carolina Mountain Land Conservancy, attended the March 2016 EAC meeting and presented the Bell Park Project to us. We found this project to be equally beneficial to residents and visitors due to the topography, natural resources, and lack of similar park properties in this area of Henderson County. We also appreciated the project's intent to honor our County's camp industry heritage that has connected generations of young people to the natural resources of Henderson County.

We, as the members of the Henderson County Environmental Advisory Committee, wish to convey our support for proposed the Bell Park Project to the Board of Commissioners.

Sincerely,

Ryan Cannon, Chair

Henderson County Environmental Advisory Committee

Executive Summary- Henderson County 2013-2014 VWIN Report

This is a summary of data collected by MountainTrue's Volunteer Water Information Network (VWIN), analyzed and compiled by EQI between 2013-2015. Below you'll see a snapshot of each of the six watersheds in Henderson County we cover. Overall, the Green River continued to hold the highest overall chemical rating in Henderson County, with few sediment issues. The Mills River watershed had the best nutrient scores in Henderson County. Sites in the Mud Creek and Cane Creek watersheds, as well as the Etowah/Horseshoe communities show much worse water quality.

The state of our water source- Mills River:

	Mills River Watershed		 		
7	North Fork Mills River	83	100	02	
8	South Fork Mills River	75	100	92	Excellent
9	Mills River at Davenport Bridge	75		88	Good
29	Brandy Branch	- 75	100	88	Good
10	Mills River at Hooper Lane		.75	71	Average
	THE TOTAL ALTICOPE LIBIT	75	100	:88	Good

- The fact that the Mills River watershed continues to receive very high chemical scores is encouraging as it supplies drinking water to Henderson County and parts of Buncombe County. Interestingly, Brandy Branch, a small stream in the Mills River Watershed is on the 303(d) list of impaired waters for a Fair benthos (macroinvertebrate) DEQ rating. However, it has improved in recent years, earning an Average VWIN score in 2014 after rating as Poor in 2012 and 2013. Moreover, Brandy Branch earned a Good SMIE (see full annual report for more details on SMIE, a separate water quality monitoring program) rating in fall 2014.
- Water quality tends to degrade as you move downstream, largely due to agriculture throughout
 the watershed. The Mills River Partnership (MRP) utilizes VWIN data to monitor the overall water
 quality in the watershed, and is working with farmers on a purely voluntary basis to promote
 agricultural best management practices (BMPs) that reduce topsoil erosion and protect water
 quality.
- Kirby Johnson, a farmer in the Mills River community working with the MRP to implement multiple BMPs including a spray shed, grassed waterway, stabilized entrance with filter cloth, tree plantings along the stream and a riprap filtered outfall to the stream from the grassed waterway. He said he is "elated working with the Mills River Partnership" and that "they have been instrumental in helping me preserve topsoil".

Green River:

	Green River/Broad River Watershed				
12	Green River at Terry's Creek Road	100	92	96	Excellent
19	Green River upstream from Lake Summit	83	92	88	
11	Green River downstream from Lake Summit	100	100	100	Good
	Big Hungry River downstream	92	83	88	Excellent Good

- The Green River, situated in the Broad River Watershed (all other streams in Henderson County are situated in the French Broad River Watershed), is the most pristine river in Henderson County. If you're looking for the cleanest water for swimming, tubing, kayaking, or fishing in Henderson County, the Green River is your best bet.
- This is encouraging as the Green River is a major destination for kayakers, tubers, fishers, and other recreationalists. MountainTrue will share this information with recreation outfitters on the Green.

Mud Creek:

	Mud Creek Watershed				
21	Mud Creek at Berea Church Road	67	92	79	Average
3	Mud Creek at Erkwood Road	67	92	79	Average
31	Wash Creek/West Allen St	75	75	75	Average
32	King Creek/Airport Rd	75	92	83	Good
15	Bat Fork Creek	75	75	75	Average
18	Mud Creek at 7th Avenue	67	92	79	Average
33	Devil's Fork at Howard Gap	75	67	71	Average
30	Devil's Fork at Dana Rd	58	58	58	Poor
20	Clear Creek at Bearwallow	67	83	75	Average
5	Clear Creek at Nix Road	58	75	67	Below Average
26	Brittain Creek	83	67	75	Average
35	Featherstone Creek at Howard Gap	75	92	83	Good
34	Byers Creek at Howard Gap	58	75	67	Below Average
4	Mud Creek at N Rugby Rd	50	67	58	Poor

- Mud Creek is the largest watershed in Henderson County, resides entirely in Henderson County, and runs through the middle of downtown Hendersonville. Mud Creek is well monitored with 4 sites on Mud Creek and 10 sites on 8 tributaries of Mud Creek.
- Of the 14 sites, only King Creek at Airport Rd and Featherstone Creek at Howard Gap Rd received Good ratings. Three of the Mud Creek sites received Average ratings while the furthest Mud Creek site downstream is rated Poor. Devil's Fork at Dana Rd received a Poor rating. The remaining 7 sites in the Mud Creek watershed received Average or Below Average ratings.
- The Mud Creek Watershed is one of the most degraded watersheds in Henderson County., which is
 why MountainTrue is targeting efforts to improve Mud Creek's water quality. MountainTrue's VWIN
 data was instrumental in providing justification for the Mud Creek Restoration Project, a no longer
 active program that worked to improve water quality throughout the Mud Creek Watershed.
 MountainTrue is currently developing a watershed management plan for Mud Creek that will
 expand our current monitoring, and include log jam removals, trash cleanups, tree planting and
 more.

French Broad River:

	French Broad River				_
1	French Broad River/Horseshoe	58	92	75	Average
2	French Broad River/Mt Home	58	83	71	Average

- Both French Broad River sites in Henderson County received Average ratings in 2013 and 2014.
- Sediment continues to be the primary pollutant impacting the French Broad in Henderson County.
- Interestingly, all but one of the six sites downstream of Henderson County received Below Average
 or Poor scores as nutrient and conductivity levels increase. This tells us that the French Broad is
 cleaner in Henderson County when compared to Buncombe County.
- MountainTrue works diligently to reduce sedimentation on the French Broad through water quality
 education, tree planting volunteer outings, and programs such as Muddy Water Watch. We promote
 recreation on the river through our French Broad River Guide and guided floats on the river, and our
 French Broad Paddle Trail was recently highlighted in Outside Magazine's list of the best trips to take
 in the world: http://www.outsideonline.com/2058136/28-places-go-2016

Cane Creek:

	Cane Creek watershed				···
22	Hoopers Creek	50	83	67	Below Average
16	Cane Creek at Howard Gap Rd	50	83	67	Below Average

- Both sites in the Cane Creek watershed received Below Average ratings in 2014. Furthermore, Cane
 Creek is listed as impaired by DEQ for a Poor benthos rating.
- MountainTrue conducted multiple tree planting workdays on Cane Creek during the winter of 2015-2016 to reduce erosion. Please see this Hendersonville Times-News article for more details: http://www.blueridgenow.com/article/20160219/news/160219808

Etowah/Horseshoe Area:

	Etowah/Horseshoe				T
23	Big Willow Creek	67	83	75	Average
24	Little Willow Creek	67	92	79	Average
25	Gash Creek	58	75	67	Below Average
28	Shaw Creek	58	83	71	Average
27	Mill Pond Creek	33	75	54	Poor
14	Boylston Creek	42	83	63	Below Average

• The Etowah/Horseshoe area contains multiple tributaries to the French Broad River. The monitored streams in this area reveal degraded water quality. Mill Pond Creek received a Poor rating while Boylston Creek and Gash Creek both received Below Average ratings.